¹ From the Court's review of the docket, the first answer was filed on March 13, 2013. Docket No. 5.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

PAIGE ANN ARCURI,	
Plaintiff(s),	Case No. 2:13-cv-00416-GMN-NJK
vs. STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al., Defendant(s).	ORDER DENYING PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN (Docket No. 13)))

Pending before the Court is the Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order, Docket No. 13, which is hereby **DENIED**. The proposed discovery plan is deficient in a number of respects. First, the Local Rules require proposed discovery plans to "state the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared." Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). The submitted discovery plan fails to do so. Second, the presumptive discovery period is 180 days from the date the first defendant answers or appears. Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). The proposed plan seeks additional time for discovery, but the parties provide no explanation why extended discovery is required. Third, requests for extending discovery deadlines must be filed no later than 21 days before the subject deadline sought to be extended. *See* Local Rule 26-4. The submitted discovery plan misstates Local Rule 26-4.

Case 2:13-cv-00416-GMN-NJK Document 14 Filed 05/07/13 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is **DENIED**. The parties are ordered, no later than May 10, 2013, to file another proposed discovery plan that complies with the Local Rules. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: May 7, 2013 NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge